## Appendix C: Existing Conditions Memo

Appendix C details existing data collection and analysis for the following items:

- Existing Traffic Counts
- Interstate Percentile Speeds
- Origin \& Destination Volumes
- Safety Data
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## Introduction

This report details the data collection efforts and existing conditions assessment for the Interstate Operations Analysis and Plan for Future Improvements. The objectives and anticipated outcomes for this study include:

- Present a clear menu of prioritized improvements
- Including what deficiencies improvements address (operations, safety, reliability, etc.)
- Provide operational and analytical data to assist with later project development phases
- Determine the feasibility of potential ring routes

The project study area is shown in Figure 1. It is located in the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Area and is defined by the following limits:

- Interstate 94
- West Limit: $165^{\text {th }}$ Avenue / Cass County 15
- East Limit: Minnesota 336
- Interstate 29
- North Limit: Cass County 4 (Argusville)
- South Limit: $100^{\text {th }}$ Avenue S / Cass County 14

The study area includes Interstate mainline segment, system ramps, service ramps, rest areas, and ramp terminal intersections along I-29 and I-94.

Figure 1. Interstate Operations Analysis Study Area


## Data Collection

The Interstate Operations Analysis scope of work included the following data collection items. The following items were used to establish existing conditions within the study area.

- Peak Travel Times \& Speeds
- Traffic Count Data
- Origin \& Destination Volumes (Including Freight)
- Safety Data


## Peak Travel Times \& Speeds

Historical peak travel times from 2019 were collected from the National Performance Management Research Data Set (NPMRDS). This data was used to investigate areas of recurring and non-recurring congestion as part of the existing conditions assessment.

NPMRDS travel times and speeds will also be used to calibrate the microsimulation model later in the study.

## Traffic Count Data

Traffic data were collected from the following sources:

- Miovision Counts
- MetroCOG Interstate Counts
- NDDOT Interstate Counts
- Automatic Traffic Recorder Counts
- StreetLight Data

These counts will be used to establish baseline/existing peak hour volumes and future year 2045 peak hour volumes for operational analysis and microsimulation model development which will be discussed in the future conditions report later in the study.

Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) maps were developed for I-29 and I-94 mainline and ramp segments. AADT maps are shown in the Appendix.

## Origin \& Destination Volumes

StreetLight data were collected from MetroCOG's StreetLight data subscription. This data was used to analyze potential ring routes (including regional freight movements) as part of the existing conditions assessment.

Streetlight data will also be used to develop seed matrices for OD-MatrixEstimation and to analyze weaving percentages between interchanges later in the study.

## Safety Data

Five years of pre-COVID safety data (2015-2019) was collected from NDDOT and MnDOT. This data was used for crash analysis for all interstate segments, ramps, and ramp terminal intersections. Due to the change in travel patterns since March 2020, safety data from 2020 will be collected as a reference, but not used for crash analysis.

This data was used to analyze patterns in crashes occurring along the study corridors as part of the existing conditions assessment.
Note that crash data was not received from MnDOT for 2015. To keep the number of years consistent for both states, four years of pre-COVID data were used for safety analysis in the study area.

## Existing Conditions

## Speed Profiles

Historical NPMRDS speed data was collected along I-94 and I-29 to establish the limits and duration of recurring congestion within the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Area. This section details the results of the speed profile analysis.

A key for the speed profile analysis is shown in Figure 2. Percentile speeds were established by taking the average speed for every weekday in 2019 (Monday through Thursday - excluding holidays) within a specific 5 -minute time slice. Percentiles were calculated from these average speeds over 5 minutes. For reference, an overnight $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed profile is shown on each figure to represent an average free flow speed.

Figure 2. Speed Profile Analysis Key




PM Peak (5:00 - 5:20 PM)


PM Peak (5:20 - 5:40 PM)







## I-94 Summary

AM Eastbound

- Congestion begins: 7:35 AM
- Congestion ends: 7:55 AM
- Peak of AM congestion: 7:45 AM
- Area of congestion: Between Sheyenne Street through I-29
- Peak area of congestion: Between $45^{\text {th }}$ Street to I-29 - Resulting in 8 mph speed reduction


## PM Eastbound

- Congestion begins: 5:10 PM
- Congestion ends: 5:25 PM
- Peak of PM congestion: 5:15 PM
- Area of congestion: Between Sheyenne Street through $8^{\text {th }}$ Street
- Peak area of congestion: Between I-29 to University Drive
- Resulting in 5 mph speed reduction


## AM Westbound

- Congestion begins: 7:35 AM
- Congestion ends: 8:00 AM
- Peak of AM congestion: 7:50 AM
- Area of congestion: Between $8^{\text {th }}$ Street through $45^{\text {th }}$ Street
- Peak area of congestion: Between $25^{\text {th }}$ Street to I-29
- Resulting in 9 mph speed reduction


PM Westbound

- Congestion begins: 4:45 PM
- Congestion ends: 5:30 PM
- Peak of AM congestion: 5:20 PM
- Area of congestion: Between $25^{\text {th }}$ Street to Sheyenne Street
- Peak area of congestion: Between $25^{\text {th }}$ Street through 45th Street - Resulting in 16 mph speed reduction



PM Peak (5:00 - 5:20 PM)


PM Peak (5:20 - 5:40 PM)


AM Peak (7:30 - 7:50 AM)



PM Peak (5:00 - 5:20 PM)


PM Peak (5:20 - 5:40 PM)


## I-29 Summary

AM Northbound

- Congestion begins: 7:40 AM
- Congestion ends: 8:00 AM
- Peak of AM congestion: 7:50 AM
- Area of congestion:

Between $32^{\text {nd }}$ Ave $S$ through Main Ave

- Peak area of congestion: At Main Ave - Resulting in 8 mph speed reduction

PM Northbound

- No discernable congestion


## AM Southbound

- Congestion at $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave N at 7:45 AM w/ around a 5 mph speed reduction


## PM Southbound

- Congestion begins: 5:05 PM
- Congestion ends: 5:25 PM
- Peak of PM congestion: 5:15 PM

- Area of congestion Between $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave N to $\mathrm{I}-94$
- Peak area of congestion: At $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave $S$
- Resulting in 11 mph speed reduction


## Origin \& Destination Volumes

Daily origin and destination regional volumes were developed for all vehicles and trucks utilizing I-94 and I-29. This analysis was focused on the potential Ring Route demand.

## Methodology

Five zones, shown in Figure 3, were created to estimate the number of Interstate trips that pass through the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Area on I-94 or I-29 or stop / originate within the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Area.

## External Zones

- I-94 west of $165^{\text {th }}$ Avenue / Cass County 15
- I-94 east of Minnesota 336
- I-29 north of Cass County 4 (Argusville)
- I-29 south of $100^{\text {th }}$ Avenue S / Cass County 14

Internal Zone

- Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Area

Relative percentages were obtained from Streetlight Data between the five zones. These percentages were applied to the Average Annual Daily Traffic at the external zones to estimate the daily trips around the Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Area.

Streetlight defines a "Trip End" as a stop greater than 5 minutes
(i.e. Users that stops at a gas station to fill up for greater than 5 minutes is considered a trip from an external station to the internal metro area station)

Figure 3. Streetlight Zone Setup


Results
West External


All Vehicles
Trucks

- $88 \%$ of all vehicles have an Origin / Destination in the Metro Area
- $61 \%$ of trucks have an Origin / Destination in the Metro Area

Note that paths are 2-way flows (e.g. 110 vehicles travel from l-94 EB to I-29 NB and 110 vehicles travel from I-29 SB to l-94 WB)
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East External


All Vehicles

- $88 \%$ of all vehicles have an Origin / Destination in the Metro Area


Trucks

- $65 \%$ of trucks have an Origin / Destination in the Metro Area

South External


All Vehicles

- $91 \%$ of all vehicles have an Origin / Destination in the Metro Area


Trucks

- $71 \%$ of trucks have an Origin / Destination in the Metro Area


## Safety Analysis

The study team split the safety analysis into 3 parts including:

- Crash Concentration Mapping: A heat map was developed for the study area focused on crash frequency.
- Descriptive Analysis: Crash data was reviewed to look at trends within the study area including:
- Severity of Crashes
- Vehicle Count and Type
- Manner of Crash
- Contribution Circumstances (e.g. road surface conditions)
- Timeframe (e.g. time of day, day of week, month of year)
- Crash Dashboard: A Tableau Dashboard was developed to filter, investigate, and spot trends in available crash data.


## Crash Concentration Mapping

A heat map illustrating the "hot spots" or locations where crashes occurred the most within the study area is shown in Figure 4. Crash concentration mapping was performed for the entire study area, shown in Figure 5, but all of the "hot spots" occur within the urban section of the interstate system bounded by the following interchanges:

- Interstate 94
- West Limit: Sheyenne Street
- East Limit: $34^{\text {th }}$ Street
- Interstate 29
- North Limit: $19^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N
- South Limit: $52^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue S

These hot spots were used to pinpoint locations where the crash dashboard was used to investigate and spot trends within the available crash data.

Figure 4: Study Area Crash Density "Hot Spots"


Figure 5: Study Area Crash Density, 2016-2019


## Descriptive Analysis

## As mentioned in the Data Collection section, crash data was

 not received from MnDOT for 2015. To keep the number of years consistent for both states, four years of pre-COVID data were used for all safety analysis in the study area.
## Mainline versus Arterial

Crashes occurring within the study area were categorized into mainline and arterial crashes based on the recorded location of the incident. Arterial crashes are defined as those occurring on any arterial road that intersects a study area service interchange ramp (including 200' on either side of the ramp terminal intersection and between ramp terminals) while mainline crashes are those occurring on system ramps, service ramps, and mainline segments.
Figure 6 shows the breakdown of study area crashes on the mainline and arterial networks. As shown in Figure 6, the number of mainline and arterial crashes remained relatively consistent between 2016 and 2019, with a slight increase in mainline crashes and a slight decrease in arterial crashes during the four-year period.

Figure 6. Study Area Mainline and Arterial Crashes, 2016-2019


## Crash Severity

Crash severities for study area crashes were reviewed to understand the frequency with which injury-causing crashes occur within the study area. Crash severities are classified based on injury sustained to any individual involved in the incident. The classifications are based on FHWA guidance and include:

- Fatal: one or more deaths occur at the scene or within 30 days of the crash
- Serious Injury: one or more people receive incapacitating injuries that prevent them performing their normal activities for 24 hours
- Minor Injury: one or more people receive non-incapacitating injuries that are apparent at the scene
- Possible Injury: one or more people complain of pain or momentary unconsciousness, but injury is not visible or obvious at the scene
- Property Damage Only: no one is injured, only property is damaged as a result of the crash

Table 1 shows the crash severities for mainline and arterial crashes within the study area. Nine (9) fatal crashes occurred in the study between 2016 and 2019, while 34 crashes resulting in serious injury were observed.
Table 1: Study Area Crash Severity

| Crash Severity | Total (2016-2019) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mainline | Arterial |
| Fatal | 7 | 2 |
| Serious Injury | 27 | 7 |
| Minor Injury | 198 | 79 |
| Possible Injury | 234 | 166 |
| Property Damage Only | 2309 | 821 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{2 7 7 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 7 5}$ |  |  |

Manner of Crash
The manner of how vehicles involved in study area crashes came into contact was reviewed to understand how these crashes typically occurred. By understanding what kind of crashes are happening along I-29 and I-94, effective crash countermeasures can be identified for implementation. Table 2 summarizes manner of crash measures for the mainline and arterial crashes within the study area

On the interstate system, the most frequent type of crash that occurred was a non-collision with a motor vehicle (i.e. single vehicle accident) followed by rearend collisions. These two crash types account for $80 \%$ of the total interstate mainline crashes.

At the ramp terminal intersections, the most frequent type of crash that occurred was rear-end collisions followed by angle-type crashes. These two crash types account for 78\% of the total arterial crashes from 2016-2019.

Table 2: Study Area Manner of Crash

| Manner of Crash | Total (2016-2019) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mainline | Arterial |  |  |  |
| Front to Rear | 912 | 591 |  |  |  |
| Non-Collision with Motor Vehicle / Unknown | 1315 | 98 |  |  |  |
| Sideswipe (Same Direction) | 378 | 99 |  |  |  |
| Angle | 113 | 256 |  |  |  |
| Front to Front | 25 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Rear to Side | 15 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Sideswipe (Opposing Direction) | 10 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Rear to Rear | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 7 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7 5}$ |

## Surface Condition

Surface conditions reported for study area crashes were reviewed to understand the degree to which road surface condition could have contributed to crash events. Table 3 summarizes road surface conditions for study area mainline and arterial crashes. Over $50 \%$ of crashes occurred on dry road surfaces while nearly $40 \%$ of crashes occurred during winter conditions.

Table 3: Study Area Surface Conditions

| Surface Conditions | Total (2016-2019) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mainline | Arterial |
| Dry | 1376 | 683 |
| Winter Conditions* | 1210 | 295 |
| Wet | 189 | 97 |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{2 7 7 5}$ |

*Winter conditions include snow, ice / compacted snow, and slush
Lighting Conditions
Lighting conditions reported for study area crashes were reviewed to understand the degree to which daytime and/or nighttime lighting conditions could have contributed to crash events. Table 4 summarizes lighting conditions for study area mainline and arterial crashes. Most study area crashes occurred during daylight hours. The second most common light conditions for study area crashes were on lighted roads during the dark.

Table 4: Study Area Light Conditions

| Light Conditions | Total (2016-2019) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mainline | Arterial |
| Daylight | 1835 | 803 |
| Dark (Road Lighted) | 401 | 197 |
| Dark (Road Unlighted) | 346 | 13 |
| Dawn | 83 | 24 |
| Unknown | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | 13 |
| Dusk | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 25 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 7 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7 5}$ |

Month, Time of Day, and Day of Week
The temporal nature of the study area crashes was reviewed to understand the potential impact of seasonality, time of day, and day of week on crash events.

Figure 7 plots the frequency of study area mainline and arterial crashes based on the month they occurred. There is substantial difference between the number of mainline crashes occurring during winter months (December, January, and February) compared to other months. Winter month mainline crashes account for $43 \%$ of total crashes throughout the year.

Figure 8 shows the distribution of crashes by the time of day that they occurred. As shown in the figure, study area crashes peaked during the 7 AM and 5 PM hours. These times reflect the AM and PM peak traffic hours, coinciding with higher traffic volumes on the interstate system. Figure 9 shows the distribution of crashes during winter months, with a majority of the crashes occurring during the AM peak period.
No unusual day-of-week trends were found. Crash trends followed the total daily traffic observations on the interstate system, with more crashes occurring on workdays compared to weekends.

Figure 7: Study Area Crashes by Month


## Figure 8. Study Area Crashes by Time-of-Day (Mainline \& Arterial)



Figure 9: Winter Study Area Crashes by Time-of-Day (Mainline \& Arterial)


State Strategic Highway Safety Planning
The North Dakota and Minnesota Departments of Transportation (DOT) have developed Strategic Highway Safety Plans (SHSP) that articulate the vision, mission, and goals for each agency as they seek to improve safety on state and local roads. Both DOTs utilize a data-driven approach to identify their 6 key safety areas addressed by their SHSP, which are:

- North Dakota DOT SHSP
- Lane Departures
- Intersections
- Alcohol and / or Drug Use
- Unbelted Vehicle Occupants
- Speeding / Aggressive Driving
- Young Drivers
- Minnesota DOT SHSP
- Inattentive Drivers
- Intersections
- Unbelted Vehicle Occupants
- Impaired Roadway Users
- Speed
- Lane Departures

Key safety areas and select strategies from these plans will be incorporated into future study phases and strategy development for the study area.

## Crash Dashboard Analysis

Specific locations along the study corridors were selected for a detailed review of safety conditions to better understand the types of crashes that occurred and the factors that could be contributing to crash events at these locations. The crash dashboard was developed by the study team in Tableau and is included as an electronic deliverable that accompanies this existing conditions report. A screenshot of the Tableau interface is provided in Figure 10.
The locations were selected for the crash dashboard analysis from discussions with the Study Review Committee (SRC) and detailed investigation of crash concentration maps. The following locations were reviewed in detail on the following pages:

- I-29 and I-94 System Interchange
- I-29 NB from 13th Avenue S to Main Avenue
- 13th Avenue S SB on-ramp merge to I-29 SB
- I-29 SB from 13th Avenue S to I-94
- I-29 NB from I-94 to 13th Avenue S
- I-29 SB approaching 52nd Avenue S
- I-94 near Main Avenue
- I-94 near Sheyenne Street*
- I-94 WB from 45th Street to Veterans Boulevard
- I-94 between 45th Street and I-29
- I-94 WB at University Drive
- I-94 at Red River Bridge
- Crashes during PM Peak Period

Figure 10. Crash Dashboard Tableau Interface


## I-29 and I-94 System Interchange

- 37 crashes on I-94 WB (between loop ramps) - Primarily around PM peak period
- 23 crashes on I-29 NB (between loop ramps) - Primarily around AM peak period
- 21 crashes on I-29 SB to I-94 EB flyover
- Primarily around AM peak period
- Primarily in January
- Manner of Crashes (Top 3)
- 58 rear-end
- 56 non-collision with vehicle
- 25 sideswipes



## I-29 NB from 13th Avenue S to Main Avenue

- 57 crashes
- Primarily around AM peak period
- Primarily in winter months
- Manner of Crashes (Top 3)
- 35 non-collision with vehicle
- 15 rear-end
- 5 sideswipes



## 13th Avenue S SB on-ramp merge to I-29 SB

- 42 crashes
- Primarily during lunch hour and PM peak period
- Manner of crashes
- 42 rear-end



## I-29 NB from I-94 to 13th Avenue S

- 31 crashes
- Primarily around AM peak period
- Primarily in January
- Manner of crashes (Top 3)
- 13 non-collision with vehicle
- 9 side-swipes
- 7 rear-end

Crash Dashboard location recommendation from the SRC.


## I-29 SB approaching 52nd Avenue S

- 11 crashes
- No Time-of-Day trend
- Primarily in winter months
- Manner of Crashes (Top 3)
- 9 non-collision with vehicle
- 1 sideswipes
- 1 rear-end

Crash Dashboard location recommendation from the SRC, but no major trends were observed.


## I-94 near Main Avenue

- 44 crashes
- No Time-of-Day trend
- Primarily in winter months
- Manner of Crashes (Top 2)
- 37 non-collision with vehicle
- 3 sideswipes

Crash Dashboard location recommendation from the SRC, but no major trends were observed.


## I-94 near Sheyenne Street*

- 25 crashes
- No Time-of-Day or Month-of-Year trends
- Manner of Crashes (Top 3)
- 13 non-collision with vehicle
- 7 rear-end
- 4 sideswipes
*Crash data from 2016-2019 corresponds with prior interchange configuration at Sheyenne Street. The SRC noted speed differential concerns on EB I-94 This location should be monitored with the new EB ramp configuration.

Crash Dashboard location recommendation from the SRC, but no major trends were observed.


## I-94 WB from 45th Street to Veterans Boulevard

- 25 crashes
- Primarily around PM peak period
- Primarily during winter months
- Manner of Crashes (Top 3)
- 14 rear-end
- 6 non-collision with vehicle
- 5 sideswipes

Crash Dashboard location recommendation from the SRC. The SRC noted recent backups from Veterans Boulevard onto WB I-94. Historical crash data is from 2016-2019, so safety concerns from recent backups are likely not reflected in the dashboard


## I-94 between 45th Street and I-29

- 100 crashes
- 42 EB crashes
- Primarily around AM peak period
- 64 WB crashes
- Primarily around PM peak period
- Manner of Crashes (Top 2)

64 rear-end

- 13 side-swipe



## I-94 WB at University Drive

- 21 crashes on I-94 WB
- Primarily around AM peak period
- Primarily during winter months
- Manner of crashes (Top 3)
- 8 non-collision with vehicle
- 4 rear-end
- 2 side-swipe



## Crashes during PM Peak Period*

- 961 crashes ( $25 \%$ of total crashes)
- 56\% rear-end
- 14\% non-collision
- Location
- I-29 between I-94 and $12^{\text {th }}$ Avenue N
- I-94 between $45^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ Street
*PM Peak Period: 4 PM - 7 PM
Crash Dashboard location recommendation from the SRC.



## Recurring vs Non-Recurring Congestion

As shown in the speed profiles section, $15^{\text {th }}$ and $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds can vary considerably during the peak house on I-29 and I-94. $15^{\text {th }}$ percentile speeds are typically impacted by root causes of congestion that is not purely capacity. FHWA defines the seven root causes of congestion by the following:

- Physical Bottlenecks (Capacity)
- Traffic Incidents / Crashes
- Work Zones
- Weather
- Traffic Control Devices
- Special Events
- Fluctuations in Normal Traffic

FHWA's national estimates for recurring and non-recurring congestion in urban areas is shown on the right side of Figure 11.

- $45 \%$ of congestion is recurring (Bottlenecks \& Poor Signal Timing)
- $55 \%$ of congestion is non-recurring

Local sources of congestion for urban areas can vary substantially depending on a number of factors, with weather conditions playing a large role in the amount of non-recurring congestion. As discussed in the safety section, 43\% of total crashes within the study area occur during December, January, and February. These crashes have residual effects on interstate congestion depending on location, severity, and crash clearance times.
lowa DOT developed their own pie chart that breaks down recurring and non-recurring congestion, shown on the left side of Figure 11. This data-intensive process showed that less than $30 \%$ of congestion is recurring in Iowa Urban Areas.

The study team estimates that the percent recurring congestion in the Fargo-Moorhead metro area is 20\%-30\%.

Figure 11. Recurring and Non-Recurring
Congestion Pie Charts


Sources of Congestion: lowa Urban Areas
Source: Iowa DOT
Special EventsWork Zones
Bottlenecks


Sources of Congestion: National Urban Areas Source: FHWAPoor Signal Timing
Bad Weather

Traffic Incidents

## Conclusion \& Next Steps

The existing conditions assessment resulted in the following findings.

## Peak Hour Slowdowns

- I-94
- AM Peak Hour
- EB: Between Sheyenne Street through I-29
- WB: Between $8^{\text {th }}$ Street through $45^{\text {th }}$ Street
- PM Peak Hour
- EB: Between Sheyenne Street through $8^{\text {th }}$ Street
- WB: Between $25^{\text {th }}$ Street and Sheyenne Street

Largest speed reduction (~16 mph) occurs during the WB PM peak hour between $25^{\text {th }}$ Street through $45^{\text {th }}$ Street.

- I-29
- AM Peak Hour
- NB: Between $32{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue $S$ through Main Avenue
- SB: Near $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave N
- PM Peak Hour
- NB: No Discernable Congestion
- SB: Between $12^{\text {th }}$ Ave N and $\mathrm{I}-94$

Largest speed reduction (~11 mph) occurs during the SB PM peak hour near $13^{\text {th }}$ Avenue $S$.

## Travel Patterns

A majority of users entering the metro area via I-29 or I-94 do not travel through the area without stopping. StreetLight Data was used to estimate daily external trips through the metro area. Results are summarized in Table 5. StreetLight Data will be used to develop Origin-Destination patterns for microsimulation model development to test various interstate strategies on I-94 and I-29.

Table 5. Streetlight Origin-Destination Analysis at External Stations

| External Station | Percent of Users that Have an Origin <br> I Destination in the Metro Area |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Vehicles | Trucks |
| I-94 West External | $88 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| I-94 East External | $88 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| I-29 North External | $82 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| I-29 South External | $91 \%$ | $71 \%$ |

## Safety

- Most mainline crashes are concentrated:
- On I-94 between Sheyenne Street and 34th Street
- On I-29 between 19th Avenue N and 52nd Avenue S
- Winter crashes
- $43 \%$ of all mainline crashes occur in December, January, and February
- $40 \%$ of all crashes occurred during winter weather conditions (snow, ice, slush)
- $80 \%$ of total interstate mainline crashes were either single vehicle accidents and rear-end collisions
- $25 \%$ of total crashes occurred during the PM peak period (4 PM - 7 PM)
- $56 \%$ of crashes during the PM peak period were rear-end crashes
- Hot Spot Locations
- I-94 near Red River Bridge
- I-94 / I-29 System Interchange
- I-29 SB from 13th Avenue S to I-94
- I-94 between 45th Street and I-29
- 13th Avenue S \& I-29 Interchange
- $45^{\text {th }}$ Street \& I-94 Interchange
- University Drive \& I-94 Interchange


## Appendix

- Existing Average Annual Daily Traffic
- Existing Interstate Lane Configuration


